

Active/Invited Task Force Members			
Present	First Name	Last Name	Organization
x	Adnaan	Sikandar	Cap Gemini
	Andre	Cassulo	Florida Power and Light
x	Anuja	Nakkana	Florida Power and Light
	Belurd	Louie	PGE
	Charlie	Ward	Duke Energy
	Dan	Jablonski	Jnissi Solutions
	Dave	Kaufman	Honeywell
x	David	Bishop	Landis & Gyr
	David	Duguid	Sensus
	David	Ress	Sensus
	Donny	Helm	ONCOR
x	Douglas	Schultz	CMS Energy (Consumers)
	Frank	Wilhoit	American Electric Power
X	Greg	Congleton	Infoantics
x	Greg	Robinson (Chair)	Xtensible Solutions
x	James	Meyer	Xtensible Solutions
	Jerry	Gray	CimPLE
x	Jett	Winter	Bit Stew
	Jim	Greenhaw	Pacific Gas & Electric
x	Jim	Horstman	Southern California Edison
	Jin	Chang	Exelon Corporation
x	Joe	Zhou	Xtensible Solutions
	Joseph	Thomas	DTE Energy
x	Larry	Kohrmann	ONCOR
	Mark	Ortiz	Consumers Energy
x	Melissa	Stephenson	Boeing
x	Pat	Brown	EPRI
	Phil	Slack	Florida Power and Light
	Predrag	Vujovic	Long Island Power Authority
	Randy	Lowe	American Electric Power
	Rich	Tolway	APS
	Rich	Stephenson	Southern California Edison
	Rick	Vasserman	
	Rob	Stewart	Pepco
	Scott	Palmquist	Itron
	Shawn	Hu	Xtensible Solutions
x	Steve	Van Ausdall	Xtensible Solutions
	Tomas	Supka	Itron
	Wayne	Longcore	CMS Energy (Consumers)

- EIM Task Force will coordinate with NIST semantic modeling efforts

Session Objective

- Go through SRS
 - Security and privacy
 - Are there security and privacy general principles which should be stated
 - Integration is handled in Section 4, integration is seen as part of data architecture and technical architecture
 - Integration from a data perspective and from an information perspective flows through all of the systems
 - Handle the conversation through a system of systems perspective, use system of systems language?
 - Look at TOGAF 9 common model
 - Add different subsections for
 - BPM
 - Business Intelligence
 - Integrations
 - EIM use case example – master data management
 - What are the different components involved in MDM from a business perspective, provide MDM application architecture, and the identify the data architecture with a semantic model and common services, address full lifecycle
 - What are we enabling with this document, what can be achieved, **value statement**, benefit statement
 - Add current situation, instead of a problem statement
- Address governance

Volunteers

Editors

Dan Jablonski

Melissa Stephenson

Andre Casulo

James Meyer

Doug Schultz

Pat Brown

Content

Angela White-Parker

Greg Congleton

OpenSG EIM Task Force
March 11, 2011 Session 2

Frank Wilhoit

Anuja Nakkana

Melissa Stephenson

Charlie Ward

Rich Stephenson

Activity use cases – Generic

Want to create use cases for creating Smart Grid architecture

Review some of the other use cases that have already been created

Assess NIST use cases for relevance to EIM

SGIP use cases are process oriented and driving to the message, may not have overlap

EIM Core processes are highest level of use cases, describe how these impact business processes

Need to map NIST processes to EIM use case

- 1) Flesh out scenarios, requirements, and use cases under EIM Core Processes
- 2) EIM Use Case – Related NIST (business) impact
 - a. Initial use cases
 - i. Data lifecycle management – Owner: Contributor: Anuja
 - b. Review OpenSG Communications may be useful for use cases for data movement
 - c. Get more information around use cases in next meeting
- 3) Ratified bi-weekly meetings as a task force
- 4) Review timelines and priorities
 - a. Have content vote on March 2012, close to a complete document. Use this as input into the semantic model.
 - b. Release a set of use cases at the same time.
 - c. When to release semantic model? Needs to be discussed.
- 5) Change name to EIM Requirements Specification